

# Tab 3

From: Tim Ziegenfuss [tzphd@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2001 7:19 AM  
To: BobC@prosourceonline.com  
Subject: RE:



UK Xen Study  
Proposal (initial...

Bob,

Here is the original proposal from Dr. Harris.

Talk to you soon,

TZ

-----Original Message Follows-----

From: BobC@prosourceonline.com  
To: tzphd@hotmail.com  
Subject: RE:  
Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 15:44:07 -0400

Tim,

Have Kent send us a new contract so we can get moving on this.

On a separate note, I don't think its fair to suggest that we've blown off any researchers. The original Kent project was as you know delayed due to a sticking point in the contract. The Kreider proposal was set up different than we anticipated and was quite costly (notwithstanding that, it's still on the table as a consideration depending on budget availability). The Roger Harris proposal although quite costly is still up for consideration as well. One reason we did not rush into this project (at that cost) was because the formulation was undergoing major revisions and we are not in a position to sponsor a second study of that magnitude once the revisions were made. Those revisions are now complete and the timing is right to reconnect with Dr. Harris. In the meantime, please forward me a copy of his original proposal so we can get back up to speed.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Ziegenfuss [SMTP:tzphd@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2001 9:57 AM  
To: Bobc@prosourceonline.com  
Cc: kpconklin@aol.com  
Subject:

Hello Guys,

I wanted to follow-up relative to the proposed Kent project on Thermodyne.

As we are all REALLY busy, I'll try to be as brief as possible...

First, the Human Nutrition Lab (HNL) at Kent is still excited about

the possibility of doing the project. And although there are no "guarantees" in research for positive results, we think the protocol we have put

together

maximizes the chances of showing Thermodyne's fat burning properties.

However, there has been one change in the HNL's policy due to the time

intensive nature of project proposal development and legal (contractual)

issues. Specifically, the HNL now requires, prior to submitting for IRE

approval, either: a letter agreeing to fund the project in its entirety OR

a \$2000 deposit. After speaking with (Dr.) Lonnie Lowery about this (he is

the lab Director), he is willing to waive the \$2K deposit for Cytodyne BUT

needs to know for sure whether or not the project will be funded. You

should be getting an email from him today regarding this.

Second, relative to the timeline of the project, the HNL currently has the \$

and supplements to do Mel's AquaLean study. So although AquaLean HAS to be

first, Thermodyne will be second. I anticipate the once the \$ and supps are

received from Cytodyne, the project should take ~6-8 weeks to complete in

its entirety. This point should be clarified with Lonnie (one of the

Principle Investigators).

Finally, because I am on retainer with Cytodyne, I have removed my name from

the grant proposal as a co-investigator. I think this is best for potential

conflict of interest reasons. Of course, I will still probably help

collect/interpret the data.

I hope all this makes sense. The bottom line is that Kent is willing to do

the project, and has been bending over backwards to accomodate Cytodyne.

It's been almost a year since Kent sent the original (Xen) protocol, so

let's not string these guys along anymore...after all, we dont want to

continue asking researchers to submit grant proposals (Rick Kreider, Roger

Harris, etc) and then blow them off.

Give me a call if you need anything else.

Best wishes,

TZ

---

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at  
<http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

---

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>